UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,489	03/02/2004	Yasuhiro Koyanagi	170A 3545	1873
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP KODA/ANDROLIA 865 S. FIGUEROA STREET, 10 TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			RONESI, VICKEY M	
LOS ANGELES		OK .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/791,489	KOYANAGI, YASUHIRO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	VICKEY RONESI	1796
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABAND	ION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appli iority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/791,489 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/17/2009 has been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.
- 3. The 35 USC 112, 1st rejection is withdrawn in light of applicant's response filed on 12/17/2008.
- 4. Applicant's declaration filed on 12/17/2008 has been considered and is considered sufficient to preclude a 35 USC 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> rejection based on indefiniteness.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quemin (US 2005/0129639).

The rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 5 of Office action mailed on 3/14/2008 and is incorporated here by reference.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,489 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/14/2008 and 12/17/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, applicant argues (A) that Quemin discloses the use of other ingredients not used in the instant invention; (B) that Quemin fails to disclose a composition for concrete, mortar, stone, or wood;

With respect to argument (A), the instant claims are to other ingredients as suggested by open claim language "comprising."

With respect to argument (B), the recitation of "for concrete, mortar, stone, and wood" is intended use. Case law holds that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Furthermore, applicants attention is drawn to MPEP 2111.02 which states that "if the body of a claim fully and intrinsically sets forth all the limitations of the claimed invention, and the preamble merely states, for example, the purpose or intended use of the invention, rather than any distinct definition of any of the claimed invention's limitations, then the preamble is not considered a limitation and is of no significance to claim construction". Further, MPEP 2111.02 states that statements in the preamble reciting the purpose or intended use of the claimed invention must be evaluated to determine whether the purpose or intended use results in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art. Only if such structural

Application/Control Number: 10/791,489 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

difference exists, does the recitation serve to limit the claim. If the prior art structure is capable

of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Vickey Ronesi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2701. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

2/2/2009

vr

/Vickey Ronesi/

Examiner, Art Unit 1796